Case: 4:19-cr-00477-RLW Doc. #: 27 Filed: 07/22/19 Page: 1 of 3 PageID #: 41

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

)
)
) Case No. 4:19CR00477RLW/JMB
)
)

	Case No. 4:19CR00477RLW/JMB
CASEY BARNETT)
Defendant)
ORDER OF DETE	ENTION PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eli	gibility for Detention
Upon the	
☑ Motion of the Government attorney pur☑ Motion of the Government or Court's o	suant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or wn motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
	tion is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact 2(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and	Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
presumption that no condition or combination of cand the community because the following condition [a] (1) the defendant is charged with one of the [a] a crime of violence, a violation of § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum [b] (c) an offense for which the maximum Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 7 [c] (d) any felony if such person has been (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or the community of the commun	e following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): 718 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. The term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or the mean sentence is life imprisonment or death; or term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 8. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act 9. 05 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or 10 n convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs wo or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses 13 gh (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or	
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i> (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) a committed while the defendant was on relection (4) a period of not more than five years has	that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise above for which the defendant has been convicted was ease pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i> is elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
·	nse described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

Case: 4:19-cr-00477-RLW Doc. #: 27 Filed: 07/22/19 Page: 2 of 3 PageID #: 42
AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
 (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
⊠By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
 ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
I and openion in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision

Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong

Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted

Prior criminal history

Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervisio

History of violence or use of weapons

History of alcohol or substance abuse

Lack of stable employment

Lack of stable residence

Lack of financially responsible sureties

Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

Case: 4:19-cr-00477-RLW Doc. #: 27 Filed: 07/22/19 Page: 3 of 3 PageID #: 43 AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial Significant family or other ties outside the United States Lack of legal status in the United States Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration Prior failure to appear in court as ordered Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement Use of alias(es) or false documents Background information unknown or unverified Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: The U.S. Pretrial Services Report that was prepared on July 3, 2019 is incorporated here by reference. Defendant argued for a bond and he noted that he has a stable home and that he is married. He is self employed as a handyman and that there are conditions that could reasonable assure the court of his appearance and that would mitigate any danger that he presents to the community. The government argued that defendant has continued the use methamphetamine as recent as the day before his arrest and that he was not truthful with the Pretrial Officer when asked about the recency of his drug use. Defendant has a long history of substance abuse and he reported being a daily user until 2014. He has completed a drug court program and he has attended other support meetings. The government further argued that defendant presents a danger to the community because two minors in his care (ages 5 and 1 and 1/2 years old), reported to their Head Start teacher a concern that their "aunt" was home and would not wake up. Officers with the Missouri Department of Family Services went to defendant's residence with the teacher to assist in checking on the situation. The children answered the door and officers made contact with defendant and a shotgun was allegedly found, leading to this Indictment. Defendant also has a history of mental health issues with prior treatment and medication. It is unknown whether defendant has a current treatment plan but none was reported. Defendant has a long history of substance abuse that if continued could interfere with his ability to comply with conditions of release and appear in court as ordered. Defendant also has a serious history of mental health concerns. He is not undergoing treatment at present to determine the severity or ongoing nature of mental health issues. He admitted to state workers that he recently used methamphetamine. Therefore, defendant presents a danger to the community and a risk of nonappearance and he should be detained. **Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention** The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. Date: 07/22/2019 /s/Noelle C. Collins

United States Magistrate Judge